Interlayer Exchange Coupling for Enhanced Performance

in Spin-Transfer Torque MRAM Devices

M. Bendra^{1,2,[∗](#page-0-0)}, J. Ender^{1,2}, R.L. de Orio², S. Selberherr², W. Goes³, and V. Sverdlov^{1,2}

1 Christian Doppler Laboratory for Nonvolatile Magnetoresistive Memory and Logic at the 2 Institute for Microelectronics, TU Wien, Gußhausstraße 27-29, A-1040 Wien, Austria 3 Silvaco Europe Ltd., Compass Point, St Ives, Cambridge, PE27 5JL, United Kingdom

Spin-transfer torque magnetoresistive random access memory (STT-MRAM) is revolutionizing nonvolatile storage with its broad application and data density benefits, driven by the magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ) with a CoFeB-based structure. Despite its advantages, miniaturization introduces reliability issues like back-hopping [1]. Our research focuses on the interlayer exchange coupling (IEC) in MTJs, crucial for magnetic alignment and device performance, aiming to optimize MTJ configurations for advanced memory technologies [2].

Magnetization dynamics in spintronics is defined by the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation. IEC, critical for these dynamics, introduces a free energy density related to the angle ∆∅ between adjacent layer magnetizations as $E = J_1 cos(\Delta \phi) - J_2 cos^2(\Delta \phi)$ [3]. The constants J_1 and J_2 indicate the coupling strength and type (ferromagnetic or anti-ferromagnetic). Considering only the bilinear coupling term, the above expression simplifies to $E = I_1 cos(\Delta \phi)$. This formulation is essential for simulating IEC effects using finite element method (FEM) simulations, guiding the boundary conditions for accurate modeling of magnetic interactions within MTJs.

Exploratory simulations on the impact of IEC on various MRAM cells, depicted in Fig. 1, indicate that the absence of coupling or the presence of strong ferromagnetic interactions between the free layers (FL) can accelerate the switching process. In contrast, antiferromagnetic coupling may decelerate this process due to the complex dynamics of interlayer interactions. These findings, as shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 for the configuration in Fig. 1(a) and in Fig. 4 and 5 for the setup in Fig. 1(b), underscore the intricate influence of IEC on magnetic switching, pointing out how device performance can be optimized by adjusting the coupling strengths.

This investigation into IEC's impact on STT-MRAM devices challenges conventional views on magnetic coupling, emphasizing the need for precision in engineering IEC to boost performance. The study suggests that optimal IEC tuning can lead to faster switching, increased device reliability, and improved data retention, marking a significant step forward in the field of spintronics and memory technology optimization.

References

- [1] C. Abert, H. Sepehri-Amin, F. Bruckner, C. Vogler, M. Hayashi, and D. Suess, "Back-Hopping in Spin-Transfer-Torque Devices: Possible Origin and Countermeasures," Physical Review Applied, vol. 9, pp. 054010, 2018.
- [2] M. T. Garcia and D. R. Lopez, "Metallic Spacers in Spintronic Devices: A Review," Spintronics Research Letters, vol. 10, pp. 154–163, 2024.
- [3] P. Bruno, "Theory of Interlayer Exchange Interactions in Magnetic Multilayers," Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, vol. 11, pp. 9403–9419, 1999.

^{*} Corresponding author: email: bendra@iue.tuwien.ac.at

Figure 1: The intricate design of a multilayered MRAM cells in a more comprehensible form. (a) and (b) display a ultra-scaled MRAM cell. Color-coding is utilized for clear differentiation of components: the RL is marked in RL and the first FL (FL₁) and between FL₁ and the second FL (FL₂) are denoted by $J_{i\epsilon c_1}$ and $J_{i\epsilon c_2}$, respectively

Figure 2: Magnetization dynamics for the multilayered MRAM cell in Fig. 1(a), with a particular emphasis on the influence of IEC strengths, J_{ice_1} and J_{ice_2} , on the switching processes from AP to P. The range of coup the right panel adopts a positive *disent*, each illustrating distinct dynamics. These simulations reveal the intricate and significant role of IEC in the right panel adopts a positive *disent*, each illustrating distinct

Figure 3: Magnetization dynamics during P to AP switching for the multilayer MRAM cell in Fig. 1(a), highlighting the critical role of IEC parameters, J_{ice_1} and J_{ice_2}

Figure 4: Magnetization dynamics during AP to P, for the multilayered MRAM cell in Fig. 1(b), highlighting the critical role of IEC parameters, \mathcal{J}_{iec_1} and \mathcal{J}_{iec_2}

Figure 5: Magnetization dynamics during P to AP switching for the multilayer MRAM cell in Fig. 1(b), highlighting the critical role of IEC parameters, J_{ice_1} and J_{ice_2} .